SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS
7. Has your impression of the following parties improved, stayed the same, or worsened during the campaign?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
GP-M	Improved	Count	341	217	124	172	167	123	116	102	63	62	47	58	54	55
			28%	30%	26%	30%	27%	33%	25%	28%	34%	27%	28%	30%	24%	27%
	Stayed the	Count	722	439	283	321	398	217	281	224	99	128	95	118	152	129
	same		60%	61%	59%	55%	65%	57%	62%	61%	54%	55%	57%	61%	68%	64%
	Worsened	Count	137	64	73	89	49	37	59	42	21	42	25	16	17	16
			11%	9%	15%	15%	8%	10%	13%	11%	12%	18%	15%	8%	8%	8%
M-LIB	Improved	Count	266	185	81	136	129	85	83	98	47	41	49	39	42	49
			22%	26%	17%	23%	21%	23%	18%	27%	25%	18%	29%	20%	19%	24%
	Stayed the	Count	615	383	233	274	339	190	243	183	87	110	76	102	130	107
	same		51%	53%	48%	47%	55%	50%	53%	50%	47%	48%	46%	53%	58%	54%
	Worsened	Count	319	152	166	172	146	102	131	86	50	80	42	51	51	44
			27%	21%	35%	30%	24%	27%	29%	23%	27%	35%	25%	27%	23%	22%
PC-M	Improved	Count	227	128	98	136	91	70	68	88	39	50	46	31	18	42
			19%	18%	20%	23%	15%	19%	15%	24%	21%	22%	28%	16%	8%	21%
	Stayed the	Count	474	247	227	237	233	167	179	128	82	96	59	83	81	69
	same		40%	34%	47%	41%	38%	44%	39%	35%	45%	41%	35%	43%	36%	35%
	Worsened	Count	499	345	154	209	290	140	209	150	62	85	62	78	124	88
			42%	48%	32%	36%	47%	37%	46%	41%	34%	37%	37%	41%	56%	44%
M-NDP	Improved	Count	204	137	67	97	107	96	60	48	55	26	16	41	34	32
			17%	19%	14%	17%	17%	26%	13%	13%	30%	11%	10%	22%	15%	16%
	Stayed the	Count	575	346	229	247	325	207	205	163	93	86	68	113	117	95
	same		48%	48%	48%	42%	53%	55%	45%	44%	50%	37%	40%	59%	52%	48%
	Worsened	Count	421	238	184	239	182	74	191	157	36	119	84	37	72	73
			35%	33%	38%	41%	30%	20%	42%	43%	19%	52%	50%	19%	32%	37%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS
7. Has your impression of the following parties improved, stayed the same, or worsened during the campaign?

							CHILDRE									
					EDUCATION		НО			USEHOLD INCO		INDIGE			IGRANT STA	
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
GP-M	Improved	Count	341	49	86	204	80	257	57	127	117	50	279	43	14	285
			28%	24%	34%	28%	25%	30%	24%	31%	31%	34%	28%	32%	24%	28%
	Stayed the	Count	722	130	146	431	183	525	154	231	218	76	619	77	39	602
	same		60%	62%	57%	60%	58%	61%	65%	57%	57%	51%	62%	58%	66%	60%
	Worsened	Count	137	29	25	81	54	81	25	48	48	22	101	13	6	118
			11%	14%	10%	11%	17%	9%	11%	12%	12%	15%	10%	10%	10%	12%
M-LIB	Improved	Count	266	39	40	184	63	198	42	93	100	45	209	37	13	215
			22%	19%	16%	26%	20%	23%	18%	23%	26%	31%	21%	28%	23%	21%
	Stayed the	Count	615	105	118	380	166	439	112	213	195	56	535	70	31	512
	same		51%	51%	46%	53%	52%	51%	47%	52%	51%	38%	54%	53%	52%	51%
	Worsened	Count	319	64	98	151	88	226	82	100	89	46	255	26	15	278
			27%	31%	38%	21%	28%	26%	35%	25%	23%	31%	26%	19%	25%	28%
PC-M	Improved	Count	227	48	67	109	59	164	38	84	71	37	177	35	17	174
			19%	23%	26%	15%	19%	19%	16%	21%	19%	25%	18%	27%	29%	17%
	Stayed the	Count	474	98	100	268	131	333	100	159	140	51	400	47	16	408
	same		40%	47%	39%	37%	41%	39%	42%	39%	36%	34%	40%	35%	27%	41%
	Worsened	Count	499	61	90	339	127	365	98	164	172	60	422	51	26	422
			42%	29%	35%	47%	40%	42%	41%	40%	45%	40%	42%	38%	44%	42%
M-NDP	Improved	Count	204	32	41	124	64	136	42	77	64	38	160	30	10	163
			17%	16%	16%	17%	20%	16%	18%	19%	17%	26%	16%	23%	18%	16%
	Stayed the	Count	575	111	108	351	139	425	117	197	169	76	480	66	26	481
	same		48%	53%	42%	49%	44%	49%	50%	49%	44%	51%	48%	50%	44%	48%
	Worsened	Count	421	65	109	240	114	302	78	132	150	34	359	37	23	360
			35%	31%	42%	34%	36%	35%	33%	32%	39%	23%	36%	28%	39%	36%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS
7. Has your impression of the following parties improved, stayed the same, or worsened during the campaign?

					PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		VC	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PΕ
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)
GP-M	Improved	Count	341	64	101	62	71	28	95	95	55	25	89	78	84
			28%	15%	33%	33%	65%	24%	19%	37%	39%	52%	39%	32%	22%
	Stayed the	Count	722	267	189	117	38	84	312	145	81	23	126	143	236
	same		60%	63%	61%	62%	35%	70%	63%	57%	57%	48%	55%	59%	61%
	Worsened	Count	137	91	18	11		8	92	15	6		15	20	69
			11%	22%	6%	6%		6%	18%	6%	4%		6%	8%	18%
M-LIB	Improved	Count	266	38	81	101	17	17	72	86	52	16	81	76	55
			22%	9%	26%	53%	16%	15%	14%	34%	36%	34%	35%	31%	14%
	Stayed the	Count	615	211	165	83	68	65	246	131	66	23	113	117	178
	same		51%	50%	54%	44%	62%	55%	49%	51%	47%	49%	49%	49%	46%
	Worsened	Count	319	173	61	6	25	37	181	38	24	8	35	48	156
			27%	41%	20%	3%	23%	31%	36%	15%	17%	17%	15%	20%	40%
PC-M	Improved	Count	227	178	5	15	11	8	151	11	17	6	10	50	118
			19%	42%	2%	8%	10%	6%	30%	4%	12%	13%	5%	21%	30%
	Stayed the	Count	474	229	62	54	35	64	232	52	46	19	43	86	176
	same		40%	54%	20%	28%	32%	54%	46%	20%	33%	40%	19%	36%	45%
	Worsened	Count	499	15	241	121	64	47	117	192	79	22	176	105	95
			42%	3%	78%	64%	58%	40%	23%	75%	55%	46%	77%	44%	24%
M-NDP	Improved	Count	204	8	135	27	14	13	40	84	33	9	73	55	16
			17%	2%	44%	14%	12%	11%	8%	33%	24%	18%	32%	23%	4%
	Stayed the	Count	575	143	148	112	62	78	185	137	74	28	123	97	151
	same		48%	34%	48%	59%	56%	65%	37%	54%	52%	59%	54%	40%	39%
	Worsened	Count	421	271	25	52	35	29	274	34	34	11	33	88	221
			35%	64%	8%	27%	31%	24%	55%	13%	24%	23%	14%	36%	57%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS
7. Has your impression of the following parties improved, stayed the same, or worsened during the campaign?

					WI	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
GP-M	Improved	Count	228	39	32	37	61	58	109	117	69	87	72
			30%	27%	21%	30%	32%	41%	30%	30%	31%	28%	32%
	Stayed the	Count	461	92	102	79	117	70	212	246	131	197	133
	same		61%	64%	67%	63%	61%	49%	58%	64%	60%	64%	59%
	Worsened	Count	68	12	18	9	15	15	45	22	20	26	22
			9%	8%	12%	7%	8%	10%	12%	6%	9%	8%	10%
M-LIB	Improved	Count	194	21	32	30	61	49	100	95	60	69	66
			26%	15%	21%	24%	32%	35%	27%	25%	27%	22%	29%
	Stayed the	Count	402	97	81	70	93	61	184	215	112	175	115
	same		53%	68%	53%	56%	48%	43%	50%	56%	51%	56%	51%
	Worsened	Count	160	24	39	25	39	33	83	76	48	66	46
			21%	17%	26%	20%	20%	23%	23%	20%	22%	21%	20%
PC-M	Improved	Count	135	17	23	28	35	31	81	53	41	41	53
			18%	12%	15%	23%	18%	22%	22%	14%	19%	13%	23%
	Stayed the	Count	259	48	59	42	69	41	131	124	82	101	76
	same		34%	34%	39%	33%	36%	29%	36%	32%	37%	33%	34%
	Worsened	Count	362	78	70	55	89	71	154	209	97	167	98
			48%	54%	46%	44%	46%	49%	42%	54%	44%	54%	43%
M-NDP	Improved	Count	144	23	18	14	43	46	68	76	64	43	37
			19%	16%	12%	11%	22%	32%	18%	20%	29%	14%	16%
	Stayed the	Count	363	73	72	60	94	65	158	202	114	143	106
	same		48%	51%	47%	48%	48%	46%	43%	52%	52%	46%	47%
	Worsened	Count	249	47	63	51	56	32	141	107	42	123	85
			33%	33%	41%	41%	29%	22%	39%	28%	19%	40%	37%

 ${\bf SECTION} \ V \cdot {\bf VOTE} \ INTENTIONS \\ 8. \ How much do you approve of the job performance of each of the following party leaders?$

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
DOUGALD	Strongly approve	Count	80	64	16	38	42	36	23	21	20	10	8	16	13	13
LAMONT			7%	9%	3%	6%	7%	10%	5%	6%	11%	4%	5%	8%	6%	7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	426	281	145	208	217	123	160	143	64	78	66	59	82	77
			36%	39%	30%	36%	35%	33%	35%	39%	35%	34%	40%	31%	37%	38%
	Somewhat	Count	176	102	74	101	75	56	54	67	32	34	35	24	20	32
	disapprove		15%	14%	15%	17%	12%	15%	12%	18%	17%	15%	21%	13%	9%	16%
	Strongly	Count	148	66	82	93	54	37	62	49	17	47	28	19	15	20
	disapprove		12%	9%	17%	16%	9%	10%	14%	13%	9%	20%	17%	10%	7%	10%
	(DK/NS)	Count	370	207	163	142	225	125	158	87	51	62	30	74	94	58
			31%	29%	34%	24%	37%	33%	35%	24%	28%	27%	18%	39%	42%	29%
WAB	Strongly approve	Count	125	88	37	56	70	56	42	27	31	17	8	26	25	19
KINEW			10%	12%	8%	10%	11%	15%	9%	7%	17%	7%	5%	13%	11%	10%
	Somewhat approve	Count	369	238	131	154	214	120	137	113	59	57	38	61	79	74
			31%	33%	27%	27%	35%	32%	30%	31%	32%	25%	23%	32%	35%	37%
	Somewhat	Count	219	133	86	108	111	57	80	82	21	45	41	35	34	41
	disapprove		18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	15%	18%	22%	11%	20%	25%	18%	15%	21%
	Strongly	Count	297	163	134	179	117	49	138	110	26	88	66	22	50	44
	disapprove		25%	23%	28%	31%	19%	13%	30%	30%	14%	38%	40%	12%	23%	22%
	(DK/NS)	Count	189	98	92	85	103	95	60	34	47	25	13	48	35	21
			16%	14%	19%	15%	17%	25%	13%	9%	26%	11%	8%	25%	15%	11%
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	207	114	93	121	86	42	79	87	28	55	38	14	24	48
PALLISTER			17%	16%	19%	21%	14%	11%	17%	24%	15%	24%	23%	7%	11%	24%
	Somewhat approve	Count	278	136	142	148	130	93	100	85	45	56	48	48	45	37
			23%	19%	30%	26%	21%	25%	22%	23%	24%	24%	29%	25%	20%	19%
	Somewhat	Count	186	104	82	89	97	69	62	55	30	34	26	39	29	29
	disapprove		16%	14%	17%	15%	16%	18%	14%	15%	16%	15%	16%	20%	13%	14%
	Strongly	Count	457	330	127	188	265	123	195	138	54	79	54	67	114	84
	disapprove		38%	46%	27%	32%	43%	33%	43%	38%	30%	34%	32%	35%	51%	42%
	(DK/NS)	Count	71	36	35	35	37	50	20	2	26	8	1	23	11	2
			6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	13%	4%	1%	14%	3%	0%	12%	5%	1%
JAMES	Strongly approve	Count	78	56	22	39	38	44	21	12	23	9	7	20	13	5
BEDDOME			6%	8%	4%	7%	6%	12%	5%	3%	13%	4%	4%	11%	6%	2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	350	226	125	184	166	116	121	113	62	71	51	54	50	62
			29%	31%	26%	32%	27%	31%	27%	31%	34%	31%	31%	28%	23%	31%
	Somewhat	Count	120	66	54	71	48	41	39	40	22	23	26	18	15	15
	disapprove		10%	9%	11%	12%	8%	11%	8%	11%	12%	10%	15%	10%	7%	7%
	Strongly	Count	115	59	55	76	39	28	46	41	18	34	24	10	12	17
	disapprove		10%	8%	11%	13%	6%	7%	10%	11%	10%	15%	14%	5%	6%	8%
	(DK/NS)	Count	537	313	225	212	323	148	228	161	58	94	59	90	132	102
			45%	43%	47%	36%	53%	39%	50%	44%	32%	41%	35%	47%	59%	51%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS
8. How much do you approve of the job performance of each of the following party leaders?

					EDUCATION			N <16 AT ME	HO	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMN	MIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
DOUGALD	Strongly approve	Count	80	15	12	52	17	63	12	29	30	24	53	11	6	63
LAMONT			7%	7%	5%	7%	5%	7%	5%	7%	8%	16%	5%	9%	10%	6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	426	63	84	272	109	308	82	129	154	44	369	60	16	350
			36%	30%	33%	38%	34%	36%	35%	32%	40%	30%	37%	45%	28%	35%
	Somewhat	Count	176	34	42	98	40	134	37	64	56	19	150	23	13	140
	disapprove		15%	17%	16%	14%	13%	16%	16%	16%	15%	13%	15%	17%	22%	14%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	148	24	41	81	42	105	28	53	42	13	124	11	4	132
			12%	12%	16%	11%	13%	12%	12%	13%	11%	9%	12%	8%	7%	13%
	(DK/NS)	Count	370	71	77	212	109	252	77	131	102	47	303	28	20	320
			31%	34%	30%	30%	34%	29%	32%	32%	26%	32%	30%	21%	34%	32%
WAB	Strongly approve	Count	125	19	28	72	37	83	27	41	44	32	87	21	4	100
KINEW			10%	9%	11%	10%	12%	10%	12%	10%	11%	22%	9%	16%	6%	10%
	Somewhat approve	Count	369	58	75	235	96	271	70	137	116	56	309	43	18	307
			31%	28%	29%	33%	30%	31%	30%	34%	30%	38%	31%	33%	31%	31%
	Somewhat	Count	219	34	35	150	57	160	39	70	79	20	191	25	9	185
	disapprove		18%	16%	14%	21%	18%	19%	16%	17%	21%	13%	19%	19%	16%	18%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	297	48	75	168	78	216	59	82	111	21	255	22	16	256
			25%	23%	29%	23%	24%	25%	25%	20%	29%	15%	25%	17%	27%	25%
	(DK/NS)	Count	189	49	44	90	49	132	41	76	33	19	156	21	12	157
			16%	24%	17%	13%	16%	15%	17%	19%	9%	13%	16%	16%	20%	16%
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	207	42	54	108	60	140	31	66	80	25	166	26	11	169
PALLISTER			17%	20%	21%	15%	19%	16%	13%	16%	21%	17%	17%	20%	18%	17%
	Somewhat approve	Count	278	64	68	146	76	202	65	105	70	36	228	29	9	239
			23%	31%	26%	20%	24%	23%	27%	26%	18%	24%	23%	22%	15%	24%
	Somewhat	Count	186	32	33	119	46	139	39	64	60	14	170	19	10	157
	disapprove		16%	16%	13%	17%	14%	16%	16%	16%	16%	10%	17%	14%	17%	16%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	457	47	88	314	120	332	89	146	160	61	380	47	25	386
			38%	23%	34%	44%	38%	38%	38%	36%	42%	42%	38%	35%	42%	38%
	(DK/NS)	Count	71	23	14	29	16	49	13	24	13	10	54	13	5	54
			6%	11%	6%	4%	5%	6%	6%	6%	3%	7%	5%	10%	8%	5%
JAMES BEDDOME	Strongly approve	Count	78	16	22	38	26	52	17	30	24	23	51	7	3	67
DEDDOME			6%	8%	9%	5%	8%	6%	7%	7%	6%	16%	5%	5%	5%	7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	350	55	77	213	78	266	67	128	106	44	294	52	17	282
	Ctt	01	29%	26%	30%	30%	25%	31%	29%	32%	28%	30%	29%	39%	29%	28%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	120	28	22	68	31	85	30	36	35	13	99	16	5	99
		Count	10%	13%	9%	10%	10%	10%	13%	9%	9%	9%	10%	12%	9%	10%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	115	24	25	66	37	77	18	43	37	14	89	15	2	96
	(DK/NC)	Count	10%	11%	10%	9%	12%	9%	8%	11%	10%	10%	9%	11%	4%	10%
	(DK/NS)	Count	537	85	111	331	146 46%	382 44%	104	168	181 47%	53	466	44	31	461 46%
	L FARCH INC - 2019 P	L	45%	41%	43%	46%	46%	44%	44%	41%	4/%	36%	47%	33%	53%	46%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS
8. How much do you approve of the job performance of each of the following party leaders?

					PROV	/ VOTE INTEN	ITION		V	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)
DOUGALD	Strongly approve	Count	80	4	18	45	4	2	10	25	27	5	24	25	13
LAMONT			7%	1%	6%	24%	3%	2%	2%	10%	19%	11%	11%	10%	3%
	Somewhat approve	Count	426	88	151	110	39	27	136	132	71	20	122	106	96
			36%	21%	49%	58%	36%	22%	27%	52%	50%	42%	53%	44%	25%
	Somewhat	Count	176	85	47	7	18	16	90	36	13	3	30	23	76
	disapprove		15%	20%	15%	4%	17%	13%	18%	14%	9%	6%	13%	10%	19%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	148	116	14	2	8	6	112	8	5	3	7	27	92
			12%	28%	4%	1%	7%	5%	22%	3%	4%	6%	3%	11%	24%
	(DK/NS)	Count	370	129	78	26	41	69	153	54	25	17	46	59	112
			31%	30%	25%	14%	37%	58%	31%	21%	18%	35%	20%	25%	29%
WAB	Strongly approve	Count	125	5	89	16	10		17	66	17	4	58	29	7
KINEW			10%	1%	29%	8%	9%		3%	26%	12%	8%	25%	12%	2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	369	41	175	72	38	34	92	134	52	23	125	84	60
			31%	10%	57%	38%	35%	29%	18%	53%	37%	48%	54%	35%	15%
	Somewhat	Count	219	95	27	46	27	18	101	29	29	13	24	53	75
	disapprove		18%	23%	9%	24%	25%	15%	20%	11%	20%	27%	11%	22%	19%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	297	227	3	28	18	13	232	14	23	3	14	49	202
			25%	54%	1%	15%	16%	11%	46%	5%	16%	6%	6%	21%	52%
	(DK/NS)	Count	189	54	13	29	17	54	57	12	22	6	8	25	45
			16%	13%	4%	15%	15%	45%	11%	5%	15%	11%	4%	10%	12%
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	207	184	4	8	2	1	180	7	4	5	7	44	138
PALLISTER			17%	44%	1%	4%	2%	1%	36%	3%	3%	10%	3%	18%	35%
	Somewhat approve	Count	278	202	12	24	14	17	171	17	23	10	14	68	124
			23%	48%	4%	13%	13%	14%	34%	7%	16%	21%	6%	28%	32%
	Somewhat	Count	186	27	42	51	29	28	68	23	31	5	19	38	56
	disapprove		16%	6%	14%	27%	26%	24%	14%	9%	22%	10%	8%	16%	14%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	457	3	247	100	56	44	75	208	78	27	189	87	68
			38%	1%	80%	52%	51%	37%	15%	82%	55%	57%	83%	36%	18%
	(DK/NS)	Count	71	6	3	7	9	28	6	1	7	1		4	3
			6%	1%	1%	4%	8%	24%	1%	0%	5%	3%		2%	196
JAMES	Strongly approve	Count	78	7	13	22	26	4	19	21	11	12	21	22	16
BEDDOME			6%	2%	4%	12%	23%	4%	4%	8%	8%	25%	9%	9%	4%
	Somewhat approve	Count	350	79	123	55	62	27	99	105	57	23	91	81	78
			29%	19%	40%	29%	56%	22%	20%	41%	40%	48%	40%	34%	20%
	Somewhat	Count	120	58	31	14	1	10	55	20	13	3	18	22	42
	disapprove		10%	14%	10%	7%	1%	8%	11%	8%	9%	5%	8%	9%	11%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	115	85	12	9	1	4	88	10	4		9	19	70
			10%	20%	4%	5%	1%	4%	18%	4%	3%		4%	8%	18%
	(DK/NS)	Count	537	193	128	90	21	74	239	98	56	11	91	96	182
			45%	46%	42%	47%	19%	62%	48%	38%	40%	22%	40%	40%	47%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS
8. How much do you approve of the job performance of each of the following party leaders?

					WI	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
	_		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPE	G		(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
DOUGALD	Strongly approve	Count	67	6	10	14	19	18	33	34	30	21	1
LAMONT			9%	4%	6%	11%	10%	13%	9%	9%	14%	7%	79
	Somewhat approve	Count	295	57	49	49	86	54	138	156	76	125	ç
			39%	40%	32%	39%	45%	38%	38%	40%	35%	40%	41
	Somewhat	Count	108	20	26	13	26	23	62	45	28	36	
	disapprove		14%	14%	17%	10%	13%	16%	17%	12%	13%	12%	19
	Strongly disapprove	Count	70	10	18	20	13	8	46	23	15	27	
			9%	7%	12%	16%	7%	6%	12%	6%	7%	9%	12
	(DK/NS)	Count	217	50	50	30	49	39	88	127	70	101	
			29%	35%	33%	24%	25%	27%	24%	33%	32%	33%	20
WAB KINEW	Strongly approve	Count	93	7	13	10	28	35	40	53	40	30	
			12%	5%	9%	8%	14%	24%	11%	14%	18%	10%	10
	Somewhat approve	Count	250	44	49	39	67	51	105	145	72	100	
			33%	31%	32%	31%	35%	36%	29%	37%	33%	32%	34
	Somewhat	Count	139	34	27	22	36	20	76	63	33	55	
	disapprove		18%	24%	18%	17%	19%	14%	21%	16%	15%	18%	23
	Strongly disapprove	Count	171	34	43	39	37	18	100	70	31	85	
			23%	24%	28%	31%	19%	12%	27%	18%	14%	28%	24
	(DK/NS)	Count	103	22	21	15	24	20	46	55	44	38	
			14%	16%	14%	12%	13%	14%	13%	14%	20%	12%	9
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	120	23	21	28	30	17	69	51	24	42	
PALLISTER			16%	16%	14%	22%	16%	12%	19%	13%	11%	14%	23
	Somewhat approve	Count	143	20	42	22	33	27	84	60	42	54	
			19%	14%	27%	17%	17%	19%	23%	15%	19%	18%	21
	Somewhat	Count	109	26	20	17	27	19	55	54	43	38	
	disapprove		14%	18%	13%	14%	14%	13%	15%	14%	20%	12%	12
	Strongly disapprove	Count	346	67	62	57	92	69	142	201	87	160	!
			46%	47%	40%	46%	48%	48%	39%	52%	39%	52%	44
	(DK/NS)	Count	38	7	8	1	11	10	18	20	23	15	
			5%	5%	6%	1%	6%	7%	5%	5%	10%	5%	
JAMES	Strongly approve	Count	59	7	8	8	17	19	28	31	27	21	
BEDDOME			8%	5%	5%	6%	9%	13%	8%	8%	12%	7%	5
	Somewhat approve	Count	237	35	45	36	66	54	120	116	68	88	
			31%	25%	30%	29%	34%	38%	33%	30%	31%	28%	36
	Somewhat	Count	69	10	15	12	18	14	42	26	24	26	
	disapprove		9%	7%	10%	10%	9%	10%	12%	7%	11%	8%	ç
	Strongly disapprove	Count	62	10	20	11	9	11	42	20	16	19	
			8%	7%	13%	9%	5%	8%	11%	5%	7%	6%	12
	(DK/NS)	Count	329	81	63	57	82	45	134	192	85	155	
			43%	57%	42%	46%	43%	32%	37%	50%	38%	50%	39

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS 8. How much do you approve of the job performance of each of the following party leaders? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

	•		PROVINCIA PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES	•		FEMALES	•
		TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE		(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
DOUGALD	Approve	42%	48%	34%	42%	42%	42%	40%	45%	46%	38%	44%	39%	42%	45%
LAMONT	Disapprove	27%	23%	32%	33%	21%	25%	25%	32%	27%	35%	38%	22%	16%	26%
	(DK)	31%	29%	34%	24%	37%	33%	35%	24%	28%	27%	18%	39%	42%	29%
WAB	Approve	41%	45%	35%	36%	46%	47%	39%	38%	49%	32%	28%	45%	46%	47%
KINEW	Disapprove	43%	41%	46%	49%	37%	28%	48%	52%	25%	57%	64%	30%	38%	43%
	(DK)	16%	14%	19%	15%	17%	25%	13%	9%	26%	11%	8%	25%	15%	11%
BRIAN	Approve	40%	35%	49%	46%	35%	36%	39%	47%	40%	48%	52%	32%	31%	43%
PALLISTER	Disapprove	54%	60%	44%	48%	59%	51%	57%	53%	46%	49%	48%	56%	64%	56%
	(DK)	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	13%	4%	1%	14%	3%	0%	12%	5%	1%
JAMES	Approve	36%	39%	30%	38%	33%	42%	31%	34%	47%	34%	35%	39%	28%	33%
BEDDOME	Disapprove	20%	17%	23%	25%	14%	18%	19%	22%	22%	25%	30%	15%	13%	16%
	(DK)	45%	43%	47%	36%	53%	39%	50%	44%	32%	41%	35%	47%	59%	51%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS 8. How much do you approve of the job performance of each of the following party leaders? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOU	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	NOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
		TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE		(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
DOUGALD	Approve	42%	38%	38%	45%	40%	43%	40%	39%	48%	46%	42%	53%	37%	41%
LAMONT	Disapprove	27%	28%	32%	25%	26%	28%	28%	29%	26%	22%	27%	25%	29%	27%
	(DK)	31%	34%	30%	30%	34%	29%	32%	32%	26%	32%	30%	21%	34%	32%
WAB	Approve	41%	37%	40%	43%	42%	41%	41%	44%	42%	59%	40%	49%	37%	41%
KINEW	Disapprove	43%	39%	43%	44%	42%	44%	41%	37%	50%	28%	45%	35%	43%	44%
	(DK)	16%	24%	17%	13%	16%	15%	17%	19%	9%	13%	16%	16%	20%	16%
BRIAN	Approve	40%	51%	47%	35%	43%	40%	40%	42%	39%	42%	39%	41%	33%	41%
PALLISTER	Disapprove	54%	38%	47%	60%	52%	55%	54%	52%	58%	51%	55%	49%	59%	54%
	(DK)	6%	11%	6%	4%	5%	6%	6%	6%	3%	7%	5%	10%	8%	5%
JAMES	Approve	36%	34%	39%	35%	33%	37%	36%	39%	34%	46%	35%	44%	34%	35%
BEDDOME	Disapprove	20%	25%	18%	19%	21%	19%	20%	20%	19%	19%	19%	23%	12%	19%
	(DK)	45%	41%	43%	46%	46%	44%	44%	41%	47%	36%	47%	33%	53%	46%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS 8. How much do you approve of the job performance of each of the following party leaders? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	NDI	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS ON	BUDGET
		TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE		(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
DOUGALD	Approve	42%	22%	55%	82%	39%	24%	29%	62%	69%	53%	64%	54%	28%	32%	49%
LAMONT	Disapprove	27%	48%	20%	5%	24%	18%	40%	17%	13%	12%	16%	21%	43%	39%	20%
	(DK)	31%	30%	25%	14%	37%	58%	31%	21%	18%	35%	20%	25%	29%	30%	31%
WAB	Approve	41%	11%	86%	46%	44%	29%	22%	78%	49%	56%	80%	47%	17%	20%	54%
KINEW	Disapprove	43%	76%	10%	39%	41%	26%	67%	17%	36%	33%	17%	43%	71%	63%	30%
	(DK)	16%	13%	4%	15%	15%	45%	11%	5%	15%	11%	4%	10%	12%	16%	15%
BRIAN	Approve	40%	92%	5%	17%	15%	16%	70%	9%	19%	30%	9%	46%	67%	74%	20%
PALLISTER	Disapprove	54%	7%	94%	79%	78%	61%	29%	91%	77%	67%	91%	52%	32%	19%	75%
	(DK)	6%	1%	1%	4%	8%	24%	1%	0%	5%	3%		2%	1%	7%	5%
JAMES	Approve	36%	20%	44%	41%	80%	26%	24%	49%	48%	73%	49%	43%	24%	29%	40%
BEDDOME	Disapprove	20%	34%	14%	12%	1%	12%	29%	12%	12%	5%	12%	17%	29%	31%	13%
	(DK)	45%	46%	42%	47%	19%	62%	48%	38%	40%	22%	40%	40%	47%	40%	47%

SECTION V - VOTE INTENTIONS
8. How much do you approve of the job performance of each of the following party leaders?

** SUMMARY TABLE **

				WI	NNIPEG REG	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG	;	(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
DOUGALD	Approve	48%	44%	38%	50%	55%	51%	47%	49%	48%	47%	49%
LAMONT	Disapprove	23%	21%	29%	26%	20%	22%	29%	18%	20%	20%	31%
	(DK)	29%	35%	33%	24%	25%	27%	24%	33%	32%	33%	20%
WAB KINEW	Approve	45%	36%	41%	39%	49%	60%	39%	51%	51%	42%	44%
	Disapprove	41%	48%	46%	48%	38%	26%	48%	34%	29%	45%	47%
	(DK)	14%	16%	14%	12%	13%	14%	13%	14%	20%	12%	9%
BRIAN	Approve	35%	30%	41%	40%	33%	31%	41%	29%	30%	31%	44%
PALLISTER	Disapprove	60%	65%	53%	59%	62%	62%	54%	66%	59%	64%	56%
	(DK)	5%	5%	6%	1%	6%	7%	5%	5%	10%	5%	
JAMES	Approve	39%	30%	35%	35%	43%	51%	40%	38%	43%	35%	40%
BEDDOME	Disapprove	17%	14%	23%	19%	14%	18%	23%	12%	18%	14%	21%
	(DK)	43%	57%	42%	46%	43%	32%	37%	50%	38%	50%	39%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS

1. Setting aside which party you plan to support in the provincial election, do you accept Wab Kinew's explanation that he is a changed person, or that his past is a serious issue?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
KINEW'S	A serious	Count	470	246	224	237	232	159	180	130	66	100	71	92	80	60
PAST	issue		39%	34%	47%	41%	38%	42%	40%	36%	36%	43%	42%	48%	36%	30%
	Accept he has	Count	415	291	124	192	222	117	163	135	66	73	53	50	90	82
	changed		35%	40%	26%	33%	36%	31%	36%	37%	36%	32%	31%	26%	40%	41%
	Irrelevent	Count	315	183	132	153	160	100	113	102	51	58	44	50	53	58
			26%	25%	28%	26%	26%	27%	25%	28%	28%	25%	26%	26%	24%	29%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS

1. Setting aside which party you plan to support in the provincial election, do you accept Wab Kinew's explanation that he is a changed person, or that his past is a serious issue?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO	ME		JSEHOLD INCO		INDIGE			IGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200) (208) (257) (715) 470 87 109 269			(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)	
KINEW'S			269	139	327	82	151	161	40	406	45	19	406			
PAST	issue 39% 42% 42% 38%		38%	44%	38%	35%	37%	42%	27%	41%	34%	33%	40%			
1	Accept he has	Count	415	55	83	273	107	307	75	155	145	69	337	52	22	341
1	changed		35%	27%	32%	38%	34%	36%	31%	38%	38%	47%	34%	39%	37%	34%
	3576 2776 3276 30		173	72	229	80	100	77	38	255	37	18	258			
			26%	32%	25%	24%	23%	27%	34%	25%	20%	26%	26%	27%	30%	26%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS

1. Setting aside which party you plan to support in the provincial election, do you accept Wab Kinew's explanation that he is a changed person, or that his past is a serious issue?

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VC	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	N	ND	VOTER TYP	PE
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)
KINEW'S	A serious issue	Count	470	265	40	66	38	40	274	38	51	8	32	69	238
PAST	ST			63%	13%	35%	34%	33%	55%	15%	36%	16%	14%	29%	61%
	Accept he has	Count	415	42	212	75	46	29	96	166	62	23	152	107	55
	changed		35%	10%	69%	39%	41%	24%	19%	65%	44%	49%	67%	44%	14%
	Irrelevent	Count	315	115	55	50	27	51	130	51	29	17	44	65	96
			26%	27%	18%	26%	24%	42%	26%	20%	20%	35%	19%	27%	25%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS

1. Setting aside which party you plan to support in the provincial election, do you accept Wab Kinew's explanation that he is a changed person, or that his past is a serious issue?

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
KINEW'S PAST	Accept he has	Count	305	53	51	41	79	81	139	165	80	128	97
	changed		40%	37%	33%	33%	41%	57%	38%	43%	36%	42%	43%
	A serious	Count	259	46	52	57	66	38	126	132	86	104	69
	issue		34%	32%	34%	46%	34%	27%	34%	34%	39%	34%	30%
	Irrelevent	Count	192	44	50	27	49	24	102	88	54	77	61
			25%	31%	33%	21%	25%	16%	28%	23%	25%	25%	27%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS

2. Do Wab Kinew's previous legal issues make you less likely, or more likely, to vote for a NDP candidate in your riding?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	IDER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: DECIDED/LEANIN	G NDP		(308)	(209)	(98)	(136)	(171)	(92)	(128)	(88)	(48)	(58)	(30)	(43)	(70)	(58)
IMPACT ON VOTING	A lot less	Count	10	8	2	6	4	6	4	1	3	2	1	3	2	
NDP	likely		3%	4%	2%	5%	2%	6%	3%	1%	6%	4%	3%	6%	2%	
	Little less	Count	49	30	19	21	28	32	13	4	13	6	2	18	8	2
	likely		16%	15%	19%	16%	16%	34%	10%	5%	28%	10%	6%	42%	11%	4%
	Makes no	Count	234	158	76	101	133	51	105	78	29	47	25	22	58	54
	difference		76%	76%	77%	74%	78%	55%	82%	89%	60%	81%	82%	50%	83%	93%
	Little more	Count	5	5		3	3	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	
	likely		2%	3%		2%	2%	2%	2%	196	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	
	A lot more	Count	9	7	2	6	3	2	3	4	2	2	2		1	2
	likely		3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	5%	4%	3%	7%		1%	4%
Summary	Less likely		19%	19%	21%	20%	19%	41%	13%	6%	34%	14%	10%	48%	13%	4%
	No difference		76%	76%	77%	74%	78%	55%	82%	89%	60%	81%	82%	50%	83%	93%
	More likely		5%	6%	2%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	6%	5%	9%	2%	4%	4%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS

2. Do Wab Kinew's previous legal issues make you less likely, or more likely, to vote for a NDP candidate in your riding?

					EDUCATION	ı		N <16 AT ME	HOL	USEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: DECIDED/LEANIN	G NDP		(308)	(39)	(54)	(208)	(86)	(216)	(51)	(111)	(115)	(38)	(262)	(32)	(11)	(265)
IMPACT ON VOTING	A lot less	Count	10	4	1	6	1	9	4	1	5	2	8	2		8
NDP	likely		3%	10%	1%	3%	196	4%	9%	1%	5%	6%	3%	7%		3%
	Little less	Count	49	6	14	24	16	28	11	16	13	4	44	4	1	44
	likely		16%	16%	27%	12%	19%	13%	22%	15%	11%	10%	17%	14%	9%	16%
	Makes no	Count	234	27	37	167	63	169	31	91	90	30	198	22	9	204
	difference		76%	68%	69%	81%	74%	78%	61%	82%	78%	78%	75%	68%	81%	77%
	Little more	Count	5			5	3	2	1	1	3		5	2	1	3
	likely		2%			3%	4%	196	2%	1%	3%		2%	5%	10%	1%
	A lot more	Count	9	2	2	5	2	7	3	2	4	2	7	2		7
	likely		3%	6%	3%	2%	2%	3%	6%	2%	3%	5%	3%	6%		3%
Summary	Less likely	-	19%	26%	28%	14%	20%	18%	31%	15%	16%	17%	20%	20%	9%	20%
	No difference		76%	68%	69%	81%	74%	78%	61%	82%	78%	78%	75%	68%	81%	77%
	More likely		5%	6%	3%	5%	6%	4%	8%	3%	6%	5%	5%	11%	10%	4%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS
2. Do Wab Kinew's previous legal issues make you less likely, or more likely, to vote for a NDP candidate in your riding?

				PROV VOTE INTENTION	VC	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	NDI	P VOTER TYP	PE
			TOTAL	NDP	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non
BASE: DECIDED/LEANIN	IG NDP		(308)	(308)	(55)	(174)	(27)	(10)	(159)	(64)	(18)
IMPACT ON VOTING	A lot less	Count	10	10	4	2	1	2	2	5	1
NDP	likely		3%	3%	7%	1%	2%	24%	1%	7%	7%
	Little less	Count	49	49	11	16	6	2	13	13	4
	likely		16%	16%	20%	9%	23%	19%	8%	20%	20%
	Makes no	Count	234	234	40	144	17	6	134	45	13
	difference		76%	76%	73%	83%	64%	57%	84%	69%	72%
	Little more	Count	5	5		5	1		5	1	
	likely		2%	2%		3%	2%		3%	1%	
	A lot more	Count	9	9		7	2		6	2	
	likely		3%	3%		4%	7%		4%	3%	
Summary	Less likely		19%	19%	27%	10%	26%	43%	9%	27%	28%
	No difference		76%	76%	73%	83%	64%	57%	84%	69%	72%
	More likely		5%	5%		7%	10%		7%	4%	

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS
2. Do Wab Kinew's previous legal issues make you less likely, or more likely, to vote for a NDP candidate in your riding?

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: DECIDED/LEANIN	IG NDP		(220)	(39)	(51)	(33)	(44)	(53)	(97)	(123)	(59)	(95)	(66)
IMPACT ON VOTING	A lot less	Count	9		3	1	1	3	6	3	6	2	1
NDP	likely		4%		7%	4%	2%	6%	6%	2%	10%	2%	1%
	Little less	Count	32	4	8	6	10	5	11	21	20	8	4
	likely		15%	10%	16%	17%	22%	9%	12%	17%	34%	8%	6%
	Makes no	Count	166	31	39	24	31	41	71	95	29	79	58
	difference		76%	80%	75%	74%	71%	77%	74%	77%	50%	83%	88%
	Little more	Count	6	1		1	1	3	3	3	2	3	1
	likely		3%	3%		3%	1%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%
	A lot more	Count	7	3	1	1	2	1	5	2	2	3	2
	likely		3%	7%	2%	2%	5%	2%	5%	2%	4%	3%	3%
Summary	Less likely		19%	10%	23%	21%	23%	16%	18%	19%	44%	11%	7%
	No difference		76%	80%	75%	74%	71%	77%	74%	77%	50%	83%	88%
	More likely		6%	10%	2%	5%	6%	8%	8%	4%	7%	6%	4%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS
4. Brian Pallister has been described by some people as having a combative style. Do you think this is helpful, or unhelpful, to the province?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
PALLISTER	Very helpful	Count	153	74	79	97	55	24	69	60	16	47	34	8	22	25
"COMBATIVE"			13%	10%	16%	17%	9%	6%	15%	16%	9%	20%	21%	4%	10%	13%
STYLE	Somewhat	Count	281	132	149	150	132	114	88	79	65	48	37	49	41	42
	helpful		23%	18%	31%	26%	21%	30%	19%	22%	36%	21%	22%	25%	18%	21%
	Makes no	Count	92	62	30	49	44	38	31	22	22	20	7	17	12	15
	difference		8%	9%	6%	8%	7%	10%	7%	6%	12%	8%	4%	9%	5%	8%
	Somewhat	Count	225	135	90	94	131	84	77	64	33	32	29	52	45	35
	unhelpful		19%	19%	19%	16%	21%	22%	17%	17%	18%	14%	17%	27%	20%	17%
	Very unhelpful	Count	449	317	132	192	252	115	191	142	47	86	60	66	104	82
			37%	44%	27%	33%	41%	31%	42%	39%	26%	37%	36%	35%	46%	41%
Summary	Helpful		36%	29%	47%	42%	30%	37%	34%	38%	45%	41%	42%	30%	28%	34%
	No difference	•	8%	9%	6%	8%	7%	10%	7%	6%	12%	8%	4%	9%	5%	8%
	Unhelpful		56%	63%	46%	49%	62%	53%	59%	56%	44%	51%	53%	62%	67%	59%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS
4. Brian Pallister has been described by some people as having a combative style. Do you think this is helpful, or unhelpful, to the province?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
PALLISTER	Very helpful	Count	153	28	35	86	31	117	33	42	50	15	127	18	10	123
"COMBATIVE"			13%	14%	14%	12%	10%	14%	14%	10%	13%	10%	13%	14%	18%	12%
STYLE	Somewhat	Count	281	65	70	142	90	188	64	96	91	43	227	32	12	238
	helpful		23%	31%	27%	20%	28%	22%	27%	24%	24%	29%	23%	24%	20%	24%
	Makes no	Count	92	24	29	39	14	76	21	36	13	15	68	11	1	78
	difference		8%	11%	11%	5%	5%	9%	9%	9%	3%	10%	7%	8%	2%	8%
	Somewhat	Count	225	38	37	145	66	154	40	80	69	19	195	22	17	186
	unhelpful		19%	18%	14%	20%	21%	18%	17%	20%	18%	13%	19%	17%	28%	19%
	Very unhelpful	Count	449	53	85	304	116	327	79	151	162	56	383	50	19	380
			37%	25%	33%	42%	37%	38%	34%	37%	42%	38%	38%	38%	32%	38%
Summary	Helpful	·	36%	45%	41%	32%	38%	35%	41%	34%	37%	39%	35%	37%	37%	36%
	No difference		8%	11%	11%	5%	5%	9%	9%	9%	3%	10%	7%	8%	2%	8%
	Unhelpful	•	56%	44%	48%	63%	57%	56%	50%	57%	60%	51%	58%	54%	61%	56%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS
4. Brian Pallister has been described by some people as having a combative style. Do you think this is helpful, or unhelpful, to the province?

					PRO\	/ VOTE INTER	NOITI		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	Έ
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)
PALLISTER	Very helpful	Count	153	136	7	5		6	125	6	3	3	4	26	99
"COMBATIVE"			13%	32%	2%	2%		5%	25%	3%	2%	6%	2%	11%	25%
STYLE	Somewhat	Count	281	178	20	31	16	19	170	19	22	9	18	61	131
	helpful		23%	42%	7%	16%	14%	16%	34%	7%	16%	20%	8%	25%	34%
	Makes no	Count	92	34	10	6	9	21	39	5	4	4	2	16	23
	difference		8%	8%	3%	3%	8%	17%	8%	2%	3%	8%	1%	7%	6%
	Somewhat	Count	225	60	53	38	28	36	88	40	34	5	31	44	65
	unhelpful		19%	14%	17%	20%	25%	30%	18%	16%	24%	10%	14%	18%	17%
	Very unhelpful	Count	449	14	218	111	58	38	78	185	79	27	174	93	71
			37%	3%	71%	59%	53%	32%	16%	73%	56%	57%	76%	39%	18%
Summary	Helpful		36%	74%	9%	19%	14%	20%	59%	10%	18%	26%	10%	36%	59%
	No difference		8%	8%	3%	3%	8%	17%	8%	2%	3%	8%	1%	7%	6%
I	Unhelpful		56%	18%	88%	78%	78%	62%	33%	88%	79%	66%	90%	57%	35%

SECTION L - VIEWS ON LEADERS
4. Brian Pallister has been described by some people as having a combative style. Do you think this is helpful, or unhelpful, to the province?

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG	i		(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
PALLISTER	Very helpful	Count	78	17	18	18	21	4	47	30	11	34	32
"COMBATIVE"			10%	12%	12%	14%	11%	2%	13%	8%	5%	11%	14%
STYLE	Somewhat	Count	139	22	25	21	34	36	83	56	55	42	42
	helpful		18%	16%	16%	17%	18%	25%	23%	15%	25%	13%	19%
	Makes no	Count	65	15	17	8	13	13	33	32	26	25	13
	difference		9%	10%	11%	6%	7%	9%	9%	8%	12%	8%	6%
	Somewhat	Count	142	26	27	23	38	27	65	77	52	50	41
	unhelpful		19%	18%	18%	18%	20%	19%	18%	20%	23%	16%	18%
	Very unhelpful	Count	333	62	66	56	86	63	139	190	76	158	99
			44%	44%	43%	44%	45%	44%	38%	49%	34%	51%	43%
Summary	Helpful		29%	28%	28%	31%	29%	28%	35%	22%	30%	25%	33%
	No difference		9%	10%	11%	6%	7%	9%	9%	8%	12%	8%	6%
	Unhelpful		63%	62%	61%	63%	65%	63%	56%	69%	58%	67%	61%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeq	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55+	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE	Unweighted		(1200)	(756)	(444)	(574)	(622)	(331)	(382)	(487)	(121)	(189)	(264)	(208)	(191)	(223)
			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	720	720		349	367	209	294	216	104	149	96	103	144	120
			60%	100%		60%	60%	56%	65%	59%	57%	64%	57%	54%	64%	60%
	Northwest	Cases	136	136		58	76	31	73	31	10	38	11	21	35	20
			11%	19%		10%	12%	8%	16%	8%	5%	17%	6%	103 144 54% 64%	10%	
	Core	Cases	136	136		65	70	61	47	28	37	19	10	23	28	19
			11%	19%		11%	11%	16%	10%	8%	20%	8%	6%	(208) (191) (192) (223) (223) (244) (257) (258) (264)	9%	
	Southwest	Cases	184	184		92	90	53	71	59	23	42	27	30	27	33
			15%	26%		16%	15%	14%	16%	16%	13%	18%	16%	5% 11% 16% 10 23 28 5% 12% 13% 27 50 27 5% 16% 12% 25 5% 7% 11% 23 15 28 4% 8% 13% 79	17%	
	Southeast	Cases	119	119		51	68	24	40	55	10	15	26	14	25	29
			10%	17%		9%	11%	6%	9%	15%	5%	7%	16%	7%	11%	14%
	Southeast Cases Northeast Cases	145	145		82	63	40	63	43	24	34	23	15	28	20	
			12%	20%		14%	10%	11%	14%	12%	13%	15%	14%	8%	13%	10%
	Rural	Cases	480		480	233	247	168	162	151	79	83	71	88	79	79
			40%		100%	40%	40%	44%	35%	41%	43%	36%	43%	46%	36%	40%
GENDER	Male	Count	582	349	233	582		183	231	167	183	231	167			
		Count	48%	48%	49%	100%		49%	51%	46%	100%	100%	100%			
	Female	Count	614	367	247		614	192	223	200				192	30 27 16% 12% 14 25 7% 11% 15 28 8% 13% 88 79 46% 36%	200
			51%	51%	51%		100%	51%	49%	54%				100%	100%	100%
	Other	Count	4	4				2	2							
			0%	1%				0%	0%							

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

				EDUCATION			CHILDREN <16 AT HOME		HOUSEHOLD INCOME			INDIGENOUS		IMMIGRANT STATUS		
			TOTAL	H8/less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE	Unweighted	Unweighted		(193)	(250)	(740)	(271)	(913)	(251)	(415)	(367)	(142)	(1006)	(140)	(64)	(994)
			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	720	100	157	454	179	533	147	234	241	93	600	87	45	586
			60%	48%	61%	64%	56%	62%	62%	58%	63%	63%	60%	65%	76%	58%
	Northwest	Cases	136	17	30	88	46	87	22	44	46	11	123	21	11	104
			11%	8%	12%	12%	15%	10%	9%	11%	12%	7%	12%	16%	18%	10%
	Core	Cases	136	25	34	74	28	106	43	44	39	39	92	17	8	111
			11%	12%	13%	10%	9%	12%	18%	11%	10%	26%	9%	13%	14%	11%
	Southwest	Cases	184	20	32	131	41	141	36	55	66	16	161	25	14	145
			15%	10%	12%	18%	13%	16%	15%	14%	17%	11%	16%	19%	24%	14%
	Southeast	Cases	119	12	19	87	30	89	20	30	47	10	105	(133) (59) (59) (600 87 45 600 87 45 65% 76% 76% 123 21 11 123 21 11 12% 16% 18% 92 17 8 9% 13% 14% 161 25 14 165% 19% 24% 105 12 5 11% 9% 8% 119 12 7 12% 9% 12% 9% 12% 398 45 14 10% 35% 24% 476 68 30 88% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51	103	
			10%	6%	8%	12%	10%	10%	9%	7%	12%	7%	11%		10%	
	Northeast	Cases	145	26	41	75	34	110	25	60	42	18	119	12	7	123
			12%	12%	16%	10%	11%	13%	11%	15%	11%	12%	12%	11% 9% 8 119 12 12% 9% 12	12%	12%
	Rural	Cases	480	108	99	261	138	329	90	172	143	55	398	46	14	419
			40%	52%	39%	36%	44%	38%	38%	42%	37%	37%	40%	35%	24%	42%
GENDER	Male	Count	582	118	119	334	145	425	116	212	184	80	476	68	30	484
			48%	57%	45%	47%	46%	49%	49%	52%	48%	54%	48%	51%	51%	48%
	Female	Count	614	90	136	379	173	433	120	192	199	67	520	65	29	517
			51%	43%	53%	53%	54%	50%	51%	47%	52%	45%	52%	49%	49%	51%
	Other	Count	4		2	2		4	1	2		1	3			4
			0%		1%	0%		0%	0%	0%		1%	0%			0%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

			1	PROV VOTE INTENTION					V	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	NDP VOTER TYPE			
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non
BASE	Unweighted	Unweighted		(372)	(326)	(218)	(121)	(116)	(426)	(297)	(182)	(52)	(268)	(243)	(369)
			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	720	211	209	132	76	64	258	189	103	38	169	154	208
			60%	50%	68%	69%	69%	53%	52%	74%	72%	78%	74%	64%	53%
	Northwest Cases	136	40	37	24	12	17	55	27	17	6	23	20	51	
			11%	10%	12%	13%	11%	14%	11%	11%	12%	13%	10%	8%	13%
	Core	Cases	136	18	50	17	27	13	19	52	19	16	47	31	18
			11%	4%	16%	9%	25%	11%	4%	21%	14%	34%	20%	13%	5%
	Southwest	Cases	184	54	42	53	15	13	64	42	32	8	36	34	63
			15%	13%	14%	28%	14%	11%	13%	16%	23%	16%	16%	14%	16%
	Southeast	Cases	119	45	31	20	13	9	49	31	22	3	30	25	39
			10%	11%	10%	10%	12%	8%	10%	12%	15%	7%	13%	11%	10%
	Northeast	Cases	145	54	49	18	9	12	72	37	12	4	33	45	36
			12%	13%	16%	9%	8%	10%	14%	14%	9%	8%	15%	19%	9%
	Rural	Cases	480	211	98	58	34	56	241	66	39	10	60	86	181
			40%	50%	32%	31%	31%	47%	48%	26%	28%	22%	26%	36%	47%
GENDER	Male	Count	582	231	136	84	51	45	261	122	57	23	110	119	200
			48%	55%	44%	44%	47%	38%	52%	48%	40%	48%	3 169 154 6 74% 64% 6 74% 64% 6 23 20 6 10% 8% 6 47 31 6 20% 13% 6 3 36 34 6 16% 14% 3 30 25 6 13% 11% 4 33 45 6 15% 19% 0 60 85 6 26% 36% 3 110 119 6 48% 49% 2 119 120 6 52% 50% 3 2	52%	
	Female	Count	614	191	171	105	56	75	239	133	84	22	119	8% 31 13% 34 14% 25 11% 45 19% 86 36% 119 49% 120 50% 2	188
			51%	45%	56%	55%	51%	62%	48%	52%	59%	46%	52%	50%	48%
	Other	Count	4			1	3				1	3		2	- 1
			0%			1%	3%				1%	6%		1%	0%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

			TOTAL (756)		WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER	AGE			
				Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	
BASE: WINNIPEG	Unweighted	Unweighted		(136)	(147)	(131)	(195)	(147)	(376)	(376)	(237)	(266)	(253)	
			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)	
WINNIPEG	Northwest	Cases	143	143					61	80	33	77	32	
REGION			19%	100%					17%	21%	15%	25%	14%	
So	Core	Cases	143					143	69	73	64	50	30	
			19%					100%	19%	19%	29%	16%	13%	
	Southwest	Cases	193				193		96	95	56	75	62	
			26%				100%		26%	25%	25%	24%	28%	
	Southeast	Cases	125			125			54	71	25	42	57	
			17%			100%			15%	18%	12%	14%	25%	
	Northeast	Cases	152		152				86	66	42	66	45	
			20%		100%				24%	17%	19%	21%	20%	
GENDER	Male	Count	367	61	86	54	96	69	367		109	156	101	
			48%	43%	57%	43%	50%	48%	100%		50%	51%	44%	
	Female	Count	386	80	66	71	95	73		386	109	151	126	
			51%	56%	43%	57%	49%	51%		100%	49%	49%	56%	
	Other	Count	4	1			2	1			2	2		
			1%	1%			1%	1%			1%	1%		